1	Deputy Pisano, was given appear to those latue sums of
2	cash.
3	Q Do we know what large sums of montes?
4	A No, sir. It was never detined for me.
5	Q What do you mean ahe was given access to
6	it?
7	A She was told whenever she Heeded Cash,
8	she could go in and get whatever she needed.
9	Q Do we know the source of the money?
10	A No, sir, I do not.
11	Q Were you led to believe that It was
12	earned by the judge and his personal money?
13	A I was never led to believe anything with
14	respect to the source of those funds.
15	Q so you drew no conclusions aloud that?
16	A Correct.
17	Q Do you keep large shims of money in your
18	office?
19	A No. I've been married 24 years I've
20	got maybe \$20 in my pocket, and that a about all I
21	carry on me.
22	Q Did you have more on Monday? I mean, are
23	you dwindling through it or
24	A Well, I'm dwindling heranse i traveled
25	last weekend. So I hit the ATM before I lett, and I

```
haven't filled up the Suburban with 42 gallons of gas, so I probably have a little more than that.
```

- Q Were you aware or were you informed of a safety deposit box that was kept in the judge's office that also had money in it?
- A Well, no, it was a safety deposit box in some bank or financial institution, I believe.
- Q All right. But now this is separate and apart from what I just asked you about; is that right?
 - A Yes. Yes.

- Q Okay. Tell us what you know about the safety deposit box.
 - A Deputy Pisano told me that Mike Sheehan, Corporal Mike Sheehan, and Gaspar Ficarrotta co-owned, were joint signatories on a safety deposit box that contained large sums of money.
 - Q Were you curious as to the source of those funds?
 - A Well, yes. Anytime I hear about large sums of money, whether it's in a judge's office or in a safe deposit box, yes, I am.
- Q What, if anything, did you ask in follow-up?
- A Well, I have asked repeatedly of Deputy

 Gay and Deputy Pisano, you know, "Were their bribes?

Were there payoffs?" And they have both said to their knowledge no. Because if I had an inkling that there was a bribe, a payoff, a kickback, I would go straight to the FBI and U.S. Attorney's Office.

Q Well, they weren't suggesting to you that this wasn't a legitimate cash barrel --

A No. No. I'm not sure Tara knows where that money came from. I know Sylvia doesn't, at least I don't think she does.

But Tara indicated to me in response to my direct questioning that she did not know where the cash had come from, but that it was large sums of cash, and that she also was curious as to the source of this income.

Q Do you know what institution that that box is at?

A No, sir. She also mentioned a storage area that Sheehan and Ficarrotta had together.

Q That's my next question. You're doing great.

A I'm sorry.

Q Go ahead.

A She mentioned a storage area. She indicated she didn't know what was kept in the storage area, but they were joint areas of a storage area for

storage of something.

Q Now, "they," that's Mike Sheehan --

A Mike Sheehan, the Corporal, and Judge Gaspar Ficarrotta. These are the allegations Tara related to me.

Q What about a bank account?

A I'm not aware of a bank account.

"Pisano advised Judge Holder that she is aware of a bank account to which Judge Ficarrotta and Hillsborough County Deputy Sheehan both have signature authority."

Does that ring a bell?

A Not as I sit here. I remember the safe deposit box. Again, I never wrote any of this down.

Obviously I know why now. But I knew that Tara had given the journal, which she stated contained all of these allegations in great detail, to the JQC.

I was satisfied that the JQC would take appropriate action. I had concerns that if the JQC discovered allegations of illegal activity, not immoral, not unethical, not unprofessional, I had concerns whether they would report that illegal activity to the appropriate law enforcement agency, whether it be the State Attorney, or the Sheriff's Office, or the FBI, or whomever. I had that concern.

I still have that concern.

I'm not certain what the JQC does with these things if they discover illegal activity as opposed to unethical, unprofessional, and violations of our Judicial Code.

- Q Judge, I can assure you this group's got the same concerns.
 - A Yes, I know.
- Q Were you made privy to any fundraising activities undertaken by Judge Ficarrotta in Judge Ficarrotta's office regarding attorneys?
 - A I was, yes, sir.
 - Q Tell us about that.
- Gaspar Ficarrotta had been actively involved in soliciting funds for the re-election of Sheriff Cal Henderson for Hillsborough County Sheriff for this year's election, 2000. And that he had solicited campaign contributions from various attorneys that practiced before him for the re-election of Sheriff Henderson, and had attended events designed to solicit campaign contributions for the Sheriff's re-election.
- Q I don't want to take anything for granted. What, if anything, is wrong with that? Anything illegal about it?

A It's a direct violation of the Judicial Code, our Canons of Ethics. And, boy, you know, I haven't looked at the Florida Statutes lately. The hair on the back of my neck is standing up. But obviously these lawyers that come before us, you know, we, as judges, have tremendous authority and some would say power over these men and women.

Obviously, if I call somebody up and say, "Look, I want you to give a maximum contribution of \$500 to the Sheriff's re-election campaign," yeah, any lawyer that practices in front of me would probably say, "Yes, sir, Judge, I will do that."

Well, that's absolutely contrary to our Judicial Code, but more importantly, may constitute extortion or some other violation of Florida law. I leave it to real smart people like Mr. Hill to figure out what laws have been broken.

As a judge, I'm half smart and I rely on those prosecutors to bring cases to me. And I've been in the Criminal Division. And then I determine if they are, (1) legally sufficient; (2) proved beyond a reasonable doubt if I'm sitting as the finder of fact, finder of law. If I'm not, then I leave it up to the jury, six real smart people.

Q Have you heard anything or concluded

anything that you think is a relationship between the fundraising activities political for the Sheriff and these monies that we've discussed either in a safe deposit box or cash in the office?

A Have I heard anything?

Q Have you concluded, have you drawn any conclusions or heard?

A Well, logical -- you know, we in the law, you know, we use that reasonable person standard, whether it's a reasonable man or a reasonable woman.

And I think any reasonable person would be suspicious if Ficarrotta and/or Sheehan, and Sheehan was involved in that process as well, were out there soliciting all this money, you know.

Was it taken in checks? Was it taken in cash? Obviously, cash is real hard to keep track of.

So, you know, I would -- I have drawn what I think to be a reasonable conclusion that this matter deserves to be looked into and should be fully investigated because it smacks not only of impropriety, but potential illegality. That's the conclusion I have drawn.

Q Maybe one of the last points I want to ask about, were you aware that threats had allegedly been made against Pisano's 12-year-old son?

A Both she and Deputy Gay, Sylvia, told me

that Gaspar had made a statement, "Don't ever turn your back on your son," to Tara Pisano when they were breaking up in or about that April 1999 time frame.

Tara had -- alleges that Gaspar said that directly to her. And obviously Sylvia was just repeating what Tara had told her. I don't believe she was present when that alleged statement was made.

Q You know, Judge, we didn't come over here to get involved in a lot of these things. We came over here for a very specific reason. And yet we find out, as we look into that, it just naturally leads to some of these other areas.

A Yes, sir.

Q My question to you is, because I'm about through and I want to make sure that the Grand Jurors get all their questions answered, but is there anything on your mind that I haven't asked you about or that you think we need to know about and would like to share with us?

A Both on its surface, in the middle, and underneath we in this circuit have for many years had some real bad things going on in this courthouse. I've just recently become aware of some of those.

Because I am, for all intent and purposes, an outsider, a new person coming in, having

been elected. I didn't go through that appointment process. And I'm -- I'm glad of that, to be honest with you. I'd rather be elected by the people.

And the Chief Judge and I have had several conversations regarding this whole mess, beginning with Ward and then continuing to Ficarrotta, Chief Judge Alvarez and what he did and what he didn't do with respect to these bad things going on. And, you know, we're the judicial branch of government. And I'm extremely proud to do what I do every day, and I take it very seriously.

And I am absolutely offended at some of the behavior that's gone on in this courthouse for a long time. And I am not content with the response that the President does it, or these are just doing men doing what men do. I'm offended by that statement.

That statement's been made. And it's got to stop, and it's got to stop right now. We're paid to do a job. We're paid a good salary. And I look at it as a higher calling.

You know, some would say we have all this power. It's not about power, and it shouldn't be. It ought to be about doing the right thing, about, you know, exercising that discretion that we have been given by the people.

You know, we put our hand on a Bible when we're sworn in and we swear, not affirm, we don't 2 affirm, we swear that we will uphold the laws of the 3 State of Florida, the Constitution of the State of 4 5 Florida, that we will serve the people that elect us or

appoint us, people that pay our salaries.

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

You know, and that's what it ought to be And for some reason some of us in this about. courthouse have lost sight of that goal, that aspirational goal, that I think we should have. know, whether it's the President, or the Governor, or the Congressman, or, you know, the street sweeper, you know, we that work for the public that accept that money every month, we ought to be doing the public's business. And certainly we might make mistakes as judges.

You know, sometimes we don't have all the data, sometimes we're given bad data, sometimes people even perjure themselves in front of us, and we might make an error based on that perjury, those lies.

But darn it all, we are supposed to exercise the discretion that God gave us, fulfill the responsibilities of the office that we hold, and we ought to be doing the right thing. And more often than not, it's just not that hard to know what's right and

what's wrong, what's legal and what's illegal.

And while certainly we might experience those gray areas, you know, those are few. And I don't think those have been experienced in that action or in this courthouse with respect to what you folks are hearing about right now. I just don't see it that way.

You know, my life has been a case study for the ethics courses that I teach over at the University of Phoenix because I have spoken out, I have bucked the system, I have gone public. And I'll be honest with you, but for the media involvement about a year ago, I don't think the JQC case with Judge Ed Ward would have gone anywhere. It would have died. It would have been swept under the rug and he would still be a judge today. And he'd still be allowed to inflict the damage on the women that he inflicted for many years in this courthouse using that robe and that office to absolutely destroy these women.

And some might say, well, that was consensual. Those women obviously knew what they were doing. I don't buy that for one second. I don't buy it. I don't accept it. Some might, but I don't. That's the way I feel. And I would welcome any questions from you folks if you have any.

Yes, ma'am.

EXAMINATION

2 BY GRAND JUROR:

Q I have one question. Whenever the office opens in the morning, I'm talking about the chamber, --

A Yes, ma'am.

Q -- the entire area, --

A Yes.

Q -- who is usually the first person there in the morning that opens things up?

A Usually me between 7:00 and 7:30. I never enter or rarely enter through any door other than this one. I come in through this door. I unlock it using my key. I immediately flip on the light switches. I'll usually go right in my office and turn on my computer, come out and turn on Lori's computer.

I will then go make coffee. So I will go back in the bathroom, I'll fill up the coffee pot, come back, make coffee. I will make sure the computers are on. I will sign any Orders that I haven't signed the night before. But I'm generally there between 7:00 and 7:30 a.m. First hearing is not usually until 8:45.

Q Are the doors pretty much uniformly closed or open, the interior doors?

A They are uniformly without exception, except for maybe once in a blue moon we'll open this

```
one, and it does have that little device, you know, on
 1
 2
      the bottom --
 3
                    MR. HILL: Your question is are the
             interior doors?
 4
 5
             Α
                    Oh, the interior doors? No, ma'am.
     sorry. They are always locked when I come in in the
 6
 7
     morning.
 8
                    They are each locked?
 9
             Α
                    Each is locked.
10
                    MR. HILL: Each of these interior doors
11
             is locked?
                    They are. So it's a pain in the neck.
12
            Α
     This door, this one will be unlocked. This one's
13
     locked, that one's locked and that one's locked.
14
     one's locked. That one's locked.
15
16
                    MR. HILL: One key fit everything?
17
            Α
                   Every -- every door is fit one key and
     that is key number 50, that one right there.
18
19
                   GRAND JUROR: Thanks.
20
                   MR. HILL: Yes, ma'am.
     BY GRAND JUROR:
21
22
                   Those interior doors, you need the key to
            0
     open them, but in order to lock them it's just a matter
23
24
     of turning the knob?
                   Yes, ma'am, that's correct, turning that
25
```

- little, I don't know what it's called. I call it a doohickie.
- Okay. That works for me.
- 4 THE WITNESS: Yes, sir.
- 5 BY GRAND JUROR:

6

7

8

9

- Q To avoid the fuzzy issue as to when you hung the marching picture on the right wall right at the door there, what was hanging there before the marching picture?
- 10 A A picture of Tampa.
- 11 0 Good.
- A I have a picture of Tampa that I actually
 moved, it's right here now. But I think on July 27th
 the picture of Tampa was still there because I think
 Dick Matt didn't give me the "Tombstone" picture until
 right after that.
- 17 FURTHER EXAMINATION
- 18 BY MR. HILL:
- Q To clarify, before the picture was here the picture was where?
- A Right here behind the couch.
- 22 Q Okay.
- A That's where I have kept it since January of 1998.
- Q Can you quickly characterize for us or

distinguish for us, if you will, the neatness, security, that type of thing of your current J.A. versus Myra Gomez as far as leaving in the evenings?

A Myra Gomez is meticulous and the office was immaculate. Lori is neither meticulous nor immaculate, so it is a lot messier right now than it ever was. When Myra left -- I keep Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday, Friday's files right there. And Myra was the one that put all those little stickies, Monday, Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday, Friday, and Myra would have each one lined up.

She would have my files for the next day's hearing on this desk right here in this corner so that I could come in and look at them. I do that evening, because I often come back in the evening, or early the next morning at 7:30, right there. I mean, everything was meticulous.

The in-basket or the -- her out-basket and my in-basket was right here. It would be neatly stacked and everything would be folded and right on top of each other. Her in-basket would be empty every day when she left. There would not be anything left.

Q What about security distinctions between the two as far as making sure your office was secured from the outside?